From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843
Date: 15 September 2026 16:07:35

You don't often get email from [redacted]. Learn why this is important

I am writing this email as I am concerned about the planning application above. My initial thoughts are that this proposal has not been given sufficient time to be reviewed and that more time is needed for people to consider the planning application. It has been very rushed compared to the usual time allocated to town planning and insufficient for various parties to submit objections or to make points to consider, meaning that important aspects could be overlooked. This is especially poignant as a planning application was refused by an independent planning inspector in 2003.

Having read the related information, I am unconvinced that in depth studies of the proposed site have been extensively carried out to ensure compliance of various issues,including biodiversity given the rural nature of the environment. Whoever is housed in this area, be it the latest proposal for its use or future housing development deserve to know that the ground has been fully and comprehensively checked for safety of potentially contaminated ground. It is my understanding that this site has been investigated for this and found wanting in the past and that any recent assessment does not go far enough to alleviate all concerns.

On a basic level there are concerns over whether the electricity needed is sufficient {utilities and infrastructure assessment} and indications that the same is true for both sewage and waste water. The main accommodation is to be situated in the most flood prone part of the site. Flooding is well known in the general area so without proper checks can we be sure that people will not have to be relocated if flooding does impact? In addition I am concerned about the lack of lighting or sufficient footpath along the B4011 which borders the site rendering it unsafe for both pedestrians and motorists alike. A large number of people being housed here will lead to more footfall and increased chance of accidents.

Given all the concerns highlighted above I feel that far more rigorous investigations are needed and that the site seems wholly unsuitable for the use intended.

Thank you for considering my objections.

[redacted]